|                                | CENTRAL INTELLIGEN  | CE AGENCY  | REPORT   | 25X1                             |
|--------------------------------|---|--|--|----------------------------------|
|                                | INFORMATION   | REPORT   | CD NO.   |                                  |
| UNTRY                          | East Germany  |  | DATE DISTR. 13 March 19  | 53                               |
| BJECT                          | Financial Losses of EKO and the Mar   | nsfeld Kombins   | t NO. OF PAGES 3   |                                  |
| ACE<br>QUIREI                  |   |  | NO. OF ENCLS.  |                                  |
| TE OF                          |   |  | SUPPLEMENT TO<br>REPORT NO.  | 25X                              |
|                                |   |  |  |                                  |
|                                |   |  |  | ·<br>·                           |
| THE UNITED ST<br>D 794. OF THE | DRIAMS INFORMATION AFFECTING THE NATIONAL DEFENSE ATES, WITHIN YER BEARING OF TITLE 18, SECTIONS 793 U. S. CODE, AS ABERUSED. INSTRUMENTS TO OR RECEIFT BY AN UNAUTHORIZED PERSON. LAW THE REPRODUCTION OF THIS FORM IS 700MINITED.   | THIS IS UN   | EVALUATED INFORMATION  | 25X                              |
| <b>3</b>                       | From 1 January to 30 September 19 German Ministry of Mining and Sme 25,976,700 DME. Included in this huettenkombinat Ost (EKO), amount "Wilhelm Pieck", amounting to 7,5 plants account for 19,740,900 DME  | sum are the ing to 12,210 24,100 DME;  | extra-plan losses of Eisen-<br>, 800 DML, and Mansfeld-Kombi<br>hus, the losses of these two   | nat                              |
|                                |   |  |  |                                  |
| 2                              | 2 ?   |  |  | 25.                              |
|                                | EKC Losses  |  |  | 25.                              |
|                                |   | lan profits to<br>216,800 Data<br>1952 plan fig<br>.20 DML per to  | btaled 1,444,200 DAE; thus to<br>Because of a lack of experie<br>ared on costs of 165,24 DME p<br>on for steel pig. Actual pro                                 | otar<br>ence<br>oduc-            |
|                                | EKC Losses  3. LKO extra-plan losses are figured to 13,661,000 LML, while extra-plumplanned losses amounted to 12,2 in production cost planning the 1 ton for foundry pig iron and 163, tion 60 at 5 per term of pig iron at of 1952:  January February March   | Lan profits to<br>216,800 DML.<br>1952 plan fig<br>20 DML per to<br>EKO were as<br>557 DM<br>132 DM<br>1365 DM   | ptaled 1,444,200 DAE; thus to<br>Because of a lack of experie<br>ared on costs of 165,24 DME;<br>on for steel pig. Actual pro-<br>follows for the first ten me | ounted otal ence oer             |
|                                | EKC Losses  3. EKO extra-plan losses are figured to 13,661,000 DML, while extra-plumplanned losses amounted to 12,2 in production cost planning the 1 ton for foundry pig iron and 163. tion deats per ten of pig iron at of 1952:  January February  | lan profits to<br>216,800 DML.<br>1952 plan fig<br>,20 DML per to<br>to EKO were as<br>557 DM<br>432 DM  | ptaled 1,444,200 DAE; thus to<br>Because of a lack of experie<br>ared on costs of 165,24 DME;<br>on for steel pig. Actual pro-<br>follows for the first ten me | ounted otal ence oer             |
|                                | EKC Losses  3. EKO extra-plan losses are figured to 13,661,000 EML, while extra-plunplanned losses amounted to 12,2 in production cost planning the 1 ton for foundry pig iron and 163, then seats per tem of pig iron at of 1952:  January February March April May June   | Lan profits to 216,800 DML. 1952 plan fig. 20 DML per to EKO were as 557 DM 432 DM 365 DM 266 DK 256 DM 240 DM   | ptaled 1,444,200 DAE; thus to<br>Because of a lack of experie<br>ared on costs of 165,24 DAE;<br>on for steel pig. Actual pro<br>follows for the first ten me  | ounted otal ence oer             |
|                                | EKC Losses  3. EKO extra-plan losses are figured to 13,661,000 EME, while extra-plunplanned losses amounted to 12,2 in production cost planning the 1 ton for foundry pig iron and 163, then seats per ten of pig iron at of 1952:  January February March April May June July August September   | Lan profits to 216,800 D.H. 1952 plan fig. 20 DML per to EKO were as 557 DM 132 DM 266 D.M 256 DM 262 DM 263 DM 261 DM 261 DM 185 per ton of ML (516), resu  | piglicon for the first nine  | ounted otal ence oer oduc- onths |
|                                | EKC Losses  3. EKO extra-plan losses are figured to 13,661,000 DML, while extra-plunplanned losses amounted to 12,2 in production cost planning the 1 ton for foundry pig iron and 163. tion seats per ten of pig iron at of 1952:  January February March April May June July August September October  Therefore, average production companies of 1952 amounted to 266 D. | Lan profits to 216,800 D.H. 1952 plan fig. 20 DML per to EKO were as 557 DM 132 DM 266 D.M 256 DM 262 DM 263 DM 261 DM 261 DM 185 per ton of ML (516), resu  | piglicon for the first nine  | ounted otal ence oer odus- onths |
|                                | EKC Losses  3. EKO extra-plan losses are figured to 13,661,000 DML, while extra-plunplanned losses amounted to 12,2 in production cost planning the 1 ton for foundry pig iron and 163. tion seats per ten of pig iron at of 1952:  January February March April May June July August September October  Therefore, average production companies of 1952 amounted to 266 D. | Lan profits to 26,800 Dal.  1952 plan fig. 20 DML per to EKO were as 557 DM 132 DM 266 DM 266 DM 262 EM 283 DM 266 DM 261 | piglicon for the first nine  | ounted otal ence oer oduc- onths |

| NY DULL WINDER WINDER STEEL STEEL THE LEFT TIMENTO CHIL IN COUNTERLINE IN ONE THE THEORY OF STREET | le a | EKO's moor showing | in plan fulfillment | can be explained by the follo | wing factors: |
|--|------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
|--|------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|

a. Various installations were not put into operation on schedule, for example:

| Installation      | Plan             | Actual .         |  |  |
|-------------------|------------------|------------------|--|--|
| Blast furnace III | 1 May 1952       | 26 August 1952   |  |  |
| Blast furnace IV  | 1 September 1952 | 11 November 1952 |  |  |
| Sintering band I  | 1951             | 8 June 1952      |  |  |
| Sintering band II | 1951             | 1 August 1952    |  |  |

b. Poor plant organisation, technical flaws in equipment and inadequate technical knowledge, for example:

Blast furnace efficiency coefficients in September -

Blast furnace II 1.8 Blast furnace III 1.8 Blast furnace III 1.5

Time when the sintering installation was not in operation -

| July      | <i>3</i> 8. <i>9</i> 1 |
|-----------|------------------------|
| August    | 39.89                  |
| September | 33.5%                  |

5. Umplanned expenses for raw material during the first three quarters of 1952 amounted to 7,817,000 DME:

|        |     |      | •                         |       |     |
|--------|-----|------|---------------------------|-------|-----|
| Krivol | Rog | Ore: | Planned price per ton     | 21.75 | DME |
|        | _   |      | Actual price per ton      | 27.54 | DME |
|        |     |      | Unplanned expense per ton | 6,29  | DME |

Note: 71,839 metric tons more than planned were purchased

Total losses 2,813,000 DME

Coke: Planned price per ton 32.64 DME
Actual price per ton 38.78 DME

Actual price per ton 38.78 DME Unplanmed expense per ton 6.14 DME

Note: 71,752 metric tons more than planned were purchased because of the poor quality of some of the coke.

Total losses 4,00%,000 DME

Mangamese ore: Pleaned price per ton 54.50 DME Actual price per ton 67.52 DME Unplanned expense per ton 13.12 DME

Note: 8,076 metric tons more than planned were purchased.

Total losses 701,000 DME

6. Extra-plan losses on salaries amounted to 2,743,000 DME during the first three quarters of 1952. These losses are explained by the fact that personnel were hired for various installations which were not finished on schedule.

## Mansfeld-Kombinat Losses

7. Mansfeld extra-plan losses are broken down as follows. Production costs exceeded the planned amount by 9,424,100 DME. Extra-plan profits from subcontracts (Lohnerbeit) amounted to 392,000 DME, from format work (Format-Arbeit) 829,000 DME, and from increase in the value of supplies (Bestaendeaufwortung) 679,000 DME. Thus, total extra-plan profits amounted to 1,900,000 DME, leaving unplanned losses at 7,524,100 DME.

SECRET

- 8. Production fulfillment from 1 January to 30 September 1952 were as follows: copper from own ores 58.7 percent; copper from Sangershausen ores 33.8 percent; and lead from own ores 63.3 percent. Non-fulfillment of the planned quotas is attributed to the non-fulfillment of the personnel plan resulting from a shortage of labor, the resulting non-fulfillment of the miners quotas, and the resulting incomplete exploitation of the capacity of the smelteries (Rohhuette). As in the gade of EKC, non-fulfillment resulted in increased costs per production will with the corresponding increase in loss per unit.
- 9. The decrease in copper yield resulted in an increase of production costs. It had been planned to obtain 10.8 kilograms of copper per metric ton of one, making the cost of copper from own ones 8,989 DME per metric ton. The yield achieved, however, amounted to only 9.8 kilograms per metric ton. The production cost per metric ton of copper developed as follows:

| January<br>February<br>March | 9,470 DM<br>9,443 DM<br>9,345 DM |                      |    | ٠.    |        |      |         |     |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|----|-------|--------|------|---------|-----|
| April<br>May                 | 10,659 DMI<br>10,117 DMI         | (from this included) | on | with. | a wage | rise | for min | ing |
| June<br>July                 | 10, 16h DM<br>10, 996 DM         |                      |    |       |        |      |         |     |
| August<br>Saptember          | 11,518 DM<br>12,264 DM           | <u>.</u>             | •  |       |        | •    |         |     |

Therefore, the decrease in yield resulted in extra-plan losses amounting to 3,232,000 DME at Mansfeld.

- 10. The coke delivered to Mansfeld by DHZ Kohle was of poor quality, so that more coke than planned had to be used per metric ton of one. Losses thus incurred amounted to l. 700,000 DME.
- R1. An extra-plan loss amounting to 1,555,000 DME occurred because of the unexpected flooding of the Otto-Brosowski Shaft.